UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,546	06/22/2007	Ryoichi Sasano	060745	1923
	7590 06/06/200 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W.			THERKORN, ERNEST G	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/594,546	SASANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	/ IC CET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/	e) OD THIDTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se						
·=	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	<i>x par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/28/06</u> . 6)						

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593). The claims are considered to read on Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593), it would reside in optimizing the elements of Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593). It would have been obvious to optimize the elements of Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) to enhance separation.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(A and/or E) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Cook (U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 1797

6,761,885). The claims are considered to read on Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885), it would reside in optimizing the elements of Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885). It would have been obvious to optimize the elements of Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) to enhance separation.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424). At best, the claim differs from either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in reciting use of projections. August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) (column 4, lines 30-44) discloses that use of projections support a frit and form channels. Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) (column 6, lines 16-44) discloses projections may be used to support a filter. It would have been obvious to use projections in either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) either because August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) (column 4, lines 30-44) discloses that use of projections support a frit and form channels or because Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) (column 6, lines 16-44) discloses projections may be used to support a filter.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) as applied to claims 5 and 6 above, and further in view of each of Muller (U.S. Patent No.

Page 4

either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) in reciting use of a removable portion. Muller (U.S. Patent No. 4,732,687) (column 3, line 62-column 4, line 5) discloses use of a screw connection allows exchanging the frit in a problem-free manner. Radnoti (U.S. Patent No. 4,055,498) (column 1, lines 25-35 and column 2, lines 16-25) discloses use of a screw cap allows replacement of a filter disc. It would have been obvious to have a removable portion in either Price (U.S. Patent No. 5,439,593) or Cook (U.S. Patent No. 6,761,885) in view of either August (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,288) or Serenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,989,424) either because Muller (U.S. Patent No. 4,732,687) (column 3, line 62-column 4, line 5) discloses use of a screw connection allows exchanging the frit in a problem-free manner or because Radnoti (U.S. Patent No. 4,055,498) (column 1, lines 25-35 and column 2, lines 16-25) discloses use of a screw cap allows replacement of a filter disc.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/594,546 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ernest G. Therkorn/
Ernest G. Therkorn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797

EGT June 5, 2008